UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

STEPHANIE SINCLAIR,	Plaintiff,	
		Docket No
- against -		
THE WRAP NEWS, INC.		JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
THE WRAF NEWS, INC.	Defendant.	

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Stephanie Sinclair ("Sinclair" or "Plaintiff") by and through her undersigned counsel, as and for her Complaint against Defendant The Wrap News, Inc., ("Wrap" or "Defendant") hereby alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an action for copyright infringement under Section 501 of the Copyright Act and for the removal and/or alteration of copyright management information under Section 1202(b) of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act. This action arises out of Defendant's unauthorized reproduction and public display of a copyrighted photograph of Megyn Kelly owned and registered by Sinclair, a New York based photojournalist. Accordingly, Sinclair seeks monetary relief under the Copyright Act of the United States, as amended, 17 U.S.C. § 101 et seq.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This claim arises under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.*, and this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

- 3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant does business in New York.
 - 4. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

PARTIES

- 5. Sinclair is a Pulitzer prize winning professional photojournalist in the business of licensing her photographs to online, print, and television stations for a fee, having a usual place of business at 73 South Hill Road, Cortlandt, Manor, New York 10567. Sinclair's photographs have appeared in many publications around the United States.
- 6. Upon information and belief, Wrap is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with a place of business at 2260 S. Centinela Avenue, Suite 150, Los Angeles, CA, 90064. At all times material hereto, Wrap has owned and operated a website at the URL: www.thewrap.com (the "Website").

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- A. Background and Plaintiff's Ownership of the Photograph
- 7. On January 21, 2015, Sinclair photographed Megyn Kelly in front of Fox News Headquarters in New York (the "Photograph"). A true and correct copy of the Photograph is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 8. Sinclair then licensed the Photograph to The New York Times. On January 21, 2015, The New York Times ran an article that featured the Photograph on its web edition entitled, *The Megyn Kelly Moment*. See http://www.nytimes.com/2015/01/25/magazine/the-megyn-kelly-moment.html?_r=1. Sinclair's name was featured in a gutter credit identifying her as the photographer of the Photograph. A true and correct copy of the Photograph in the article is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

- 9. Sinclair is the author of the Photograph and has at all times been the sole owner of all right, title and interest in and to the Photograph, including the copyright thereto.
- 10. The Photograph was registered with Copyright Office and was given Copyright Registration Number VA 1-996-790.

B. Defendant's Infringing Activities

- 11. Upon information and belief, on or about January 21, 2015, Wrap ran two articles on the Website. One entitled 'The Megyn Kelly Moment' Gets Spotlight in Revealing NY Times Profile. See http://www.thewrap.com/the-megyn-kelly-moment-gets-spotlight-in-revealing-ny-times-profile/. Another entitled Cable News Rating: CNN Surges in 2Q, Megyn Kelly Tops June Demo. See http://www.thewrap.com/cable-news-ratings-cnn-surges-in-2q-megyn-kelly-tops-june-demo/. The article prominently featured the Photograph. A true and correct copy of the articles are attached hereto as Exhibit C.
- 12. Wrap did not license the Photograph from Plaintiff for its articles, nor did Wrap have Plaintiff's permission or consent to publish the Photograph on its Website.
- 13. Upon information and belief, Wrap removed Sinclair's gutter credit and did not attribute the Photograph to anyone.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT AGAINST WRAP) (17 U.S.C. §§ 106, 501)

- 14. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1-13 above.
- 15. Wrap infringed Plaintiff's copyright in the Photograph by reproducing and publicly displaying the Photograph on the Website. Wrap is not, and has never been, licensed or otherwise authorized to reproduce, publically display, distribute and/or use the Photograph.

- 16. The acts of Defendant complained of herein constitute infringement of Plaintiff's copyright and exclusive rights under copyright in violation of Sections 106 and 501 of the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 106 and 501.
- 17. Upon information and belief, the foregoing acts of infringement by Wrap have been willful, intentional, and purposeful, in disregard of and indifference to Plaintiff's rights.
- 18. As a direct and proximate cause of the infringement by the Defendant of Plaintiff's copyright and exclusive rights under copyright, Plaintiff is entitled to damages and defendant's profits pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(b) for the infringement.
- 19. Defendant's conduct, described above, is causing, and unless enjoined and restrained by this Court, will continue to cause Plaintiff irreparable injury that cannot be fully compensated by or measured in money damages. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF INTEGRITY OF COPYRIGHT MANAGEMENT INFORMATION AGAINST WRAP (17 U.S.C. § 1202)

- 20. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1-20 above.
- 21. When the Photograph was published in an article in The New York Times, the article contained copyright management information under 17 U.S.C. § 1202(b).
- 22. Upon information and belief, in its article on the Website, Wrap intentionally and knowingly removed copyright management information identifying Plaintiff as the photographer of the Photograph.
 - 23. The conduct of Wrap violates 17 U.S.C. § 1202(b).

- 24. Upon information and belief, Wrap's falsification, removal and/or alteration of the aforementioned copyright management information was made without the knowledge or consent of Plaintiff.
- 25. Upon information and belief, the falsification, alteration and/or removal of said copyright management information was made by Wrap intentionally, knowingly and with the intent to induce, enable, facilitate, or conceal their infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights in the Photograph. Wrap also knew, or should have known, that such falsification, alteration and/or removal of said copyright management information would induce, enable, facilitate, or conceal their infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights in the Photograph.
- As a result of the wrongful conduct of Wrap as alleged herein, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Wrap the damages, that he sustained and will sustain, and any gains, profits and advantages obtained by Wrap because of their violations of 17 U.S.C. § 1202, including attorney's fees and costs.
- 27. Alternatively, Plaintiff may elect to recover from Wrap statutory damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 1203(c) (3) in a sum of at least \$2,500 up to \$25,000 for each violation of 17 U.S.C. § 1202.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment as follows:

- 1. That Defendant Wrap be adjudged to have infringed upon Plaintiff's copyrights in the Photograph in violation of 17 U.S.C §§ 106 and 501;
- 2. The Defendant Wrap be adjudged to have falsified, removed and/or altered copyright management information in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 1202.

3. Plaintiff be awarded Plaintiff's actual damages and Defendant's profits, gains or

advantages of any kind attributable to Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's

Photograph;

4. That, with regard to the Second Claim for Relief, Plaintiff be awarded either:

a) Plaintiff's actual damages and Defendant's profits, gains or advantages of any

kind attributable to Defendant's falsification, removal and/or alteration of

copyright management information; or b) alternatively, statutory damages of at

least \$2,500 and up to \$25,000 for each instance of false copyright management

information and/or removal or alteration of copyright management information

committed by Defendant pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 1203(c);

5. That Defendant be required to account for all profits, income, receipts, or other

benefits derived by Defendant as a result of its unlawful conduct;

6. That Plaintiff be awarded his costs, expenses and attorneys' fees pursuant to

17 U.S.C. § 1203(b);

7. That Plaintiff be awarded pre-judgment interest; and

8. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable in accordance with Federal

Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b).

Dated: Valley Stream, New York

July 19, 2016

LIEBOWITZ LAW FIRM, PLLC

By: <u>/s/ Richard Liebowitz</u> Richard P. Liebowitz

11 Sunrise Plaza, Suite 301 Valley Stream, NY 11580 Tel: (516) 233-1660 RL@LiebowitzLawFirm.com

Attorney for Plaintiff Stephanie Sinclair